

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/090,556	Applicant(s) BAZINET ET AL.	
	Examiner Joseph R. Maniwang	Art Unit 2144	

All Participants:

(1) Joseph R. Maniwang.

(2) Rabindranath Dutta (Reg. No. 51,010).

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 6 June 2007

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

33-41, 43-45, 47, and 50

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the "article of manufacture" language in dependent claims 33-41, 43-45, 47, and 50 that caused an antecedent basis problem with respective independent claims which recite "a computer readable storage medium". Applicant authorized Examiner's Amendment to correct the language of these dependent claims in order to overcome the lack of proper antecedent basis..